Page 1 of 2

CASE NO.: 36560

DOCKET NO.: 2008-1514-WQ-E TCEQ ID: RN105457766 RESPONDENT NAME: JASON RUSTMAN

ORDER TYPE:							
1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING					
X FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER					
_AMENDED ORDER _EMERGENCY ORDER							
CASE TYPE:							
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE					
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION					
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL					
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION					
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: 3200 Wasson Road, Big Springs, Howard County TYPE OF OPERATION: Construction site for horse arena and parking lot SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent expressed an interest in this matter. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on June 1, 2009. No comments were received. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Mr. Jim Sallans, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-2053 Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Pamela Campbell, Water Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-4493 TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Michael Edmiston, Midland Regional Office, MC R-7, (432) 570-1359 Respondent: Mr. Jason Rustman, 3200 Wasson Road, Big Spring, Texas 79720 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter.							
		,					

RESPONDENT NAME: JASON RUSTMAN DOCKET NO.: 2008-1514-WQ-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$2,100	Ordering Provisions:
Complaint X Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Order Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements: 1. Within 30 days, develop and implement a Storm Water Prevention Plan to and submit a
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None	Total Due to General Revenue: \$2,100	Notice of Intent, including associated application fees, to comply with the General Construction Permit requirements.
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: August 15, 2008	This is a Default Order. The Respondent has not actually paid any of the assessed penalty but will be required to do so under the terms of this Order.	Within 45 days, submit written notarized certification and detailed supporting
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: October 7, 2008	Site Compliance History ClassificationHigh _X_AveragePoor	documentation, including photographs, receipts, and other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision 1.
Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed on February 6, 2009 and mailed to the Respondent via certified mail, return	Person Compliance History ClassificationHigh _X_AveragePoor	
receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed."	Major Source:Yes _X No	
The first class mail, has not been returned, indicating that the Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. The Respondent failed to answer the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
Current Compliance Status: Not yet in compliance.		
WQ: Failed to obtain authorization to discharge storm water associated with construction activities under a Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System		
("TPDES") Construction General Permit [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS ("CFR") § 122.26(c)].		
		•
·		

Pallow Poulsian 2 (See	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (P	•	ion June 12, 2008
Policy Revision 2 (Sep	terriber 2002)		FOW Revisi	1011 Julie 12, 2000
DATES Assigned PCW		EPA Due		
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION			
Respondent	Jason Rustman			
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.		no feet feet and a second	Isa:	<u>· 1</u>
Facility/Site Region	7-Midland	Major/Minor Source	liviinor	
CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.		No. of Violations		== {
	2008-1514-WQ-E	Order Type Government/Non-Profit		<u>선생</u> 전쟁
Media Program(s) Multi-Media			Pamela Campbell	<u>* - 1</u>
Admin. Penalty \$			Enforcement Team 1	<u> </u>
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	*			/
	Penalty Calcula			
TOTAL BASE PENAI	_TY (Sum of violation base penaltie	s)	Subtotal 1	\$2,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1			
Subtotals 2-7 are obtain	ined by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by			
Compliance Hist	ory 5.0%	Enhancement Subt	otals 2, 3, & 7	\$100
Notes	The penalty is enhanced due to one NO\ similar to those cited in the			
		A STATE OF THE STA]	
Culpability	No 0.0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	culpability criteria.		•
Good Faith Effor	t to Comply Total Adjustments		Subtotal 5	\$0
Economic Benef		Enhancement* at the Total EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
прргод.	32,225			
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S1-7		Final Subtotal	\$2,100
 For expectation and a contract of the contract of	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Subtotal by the indicated percentage.	0.0%	Adjustment	\$0
Notes				
		Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$2,100
STATUTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$2,100
DEFERRAL		Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
	nalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g		-	
Notes	Deferral not offered for non-expe	edited settlement.		
	The company of the property of	 A transport of the control of the cont	<u> </u>	
La antica de la companya de la compa	<u> </u>		ating problem is a feature of	40.400

Screening Date 18-Sep-2008

Docket No. 2008-1514-WQ-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
PCW Revision June 12, 2008

Respondent Jason Rustman Case ID No. 36560

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105457766

Media [Statute] Water Quality
Enf. Coordinator Pamela Campbel

	Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)			
Component		Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)		5%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federa government	0	0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0.0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No:	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	btotal 2)	59
epeat Violator (Su	btotal 3)			
No.	Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	btotal 3)	09
ompliance History	/ Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Average P	erformer Adjustment F	Percentage (Su	btotal 7)	09
ompliance Histor	/ Summary			AND
Compliance History Notes	The penalty is enhanced due to one NOV with violations same or similar to those cited in	n this action.		

Screening Date		Docket N	o. 2008-1514-WQ-E	PCW
Respondent			Poli	cy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.		•		PCW Revision June 12, 2008
Media [Statute]			•	
Enf. Coordinator				
Violation Number	1	national during .]
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Cod	le § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 Code	of Federal Regulations § 122.26(c)
Violation Description	activities under a Text General Permit. Spec arena and parking lot	as Pollutant Discharge Elimina ifically, the Site was cleared ar	water associated with construction tion System ("TPDES") Constructing graded for construction of a hor applied for a permit or developed a revention Plan (SWP3).	se
			Base Pena	lty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property an	d Human Health N Han			
Release	Major Mode		•	
OR Actual			Percent 0%	
Potentia	Line Communication of the Comm		Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		9-31		
Falsification	Major Model	rate Minor	Percent 10%	
			1070	· .
Matrix Notes	100% (of the rule requirement was not	met.	
			Adjustment \$9,0	00
			.•	\$1,000
.v =				N.S.(1)
Violation Events				
Number of Vi	olation Events 2	34	Number of violation days	
***************************************	daily '			
mark only one with an x	monthly x quarterly semiannual annual	·	Violation Base Pena	lty \$2,000
***************************************	single event			and the second
Two monthly	events are recommend	led from the investigation date date (September 18, 2008).	(August 15, 2008) to the screening	1, 1
Good Faith Efforts to Comply		0.0% Reduction		\$0
and a second and the second and an analysis of the second and the second and the second and the second and a	Before		ffer	
***************************************	Extraordinary			***
www.	Ordinary N/A x			***************************************
		espondent does not meet the g	good faith criteria for this	A Common
			Violation Subto	tal \$2,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$180	Violation Final Penalty To	tal \$2,100
	je vluž A 1 d prijigaja staji opjuženski nita osta svo statomnom ni	This violation Final Ass	sessed Penalty (adjusted for limi	ts) \$2,100

	Jason Rustmar	conomic I		<u> </u>			
Case ID No							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							Years of
programs assess and an expensive terms of the little and the littl	Water Quality					Percent Interest	ar word to a make
Violation No.	: 1	erien i en regio perference de l'arcente attractes de					Depreciation
						5.0	1.
	Item Cost	Date Required	: Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
	i ito commas or o				oliusia balandada (* Noda).		
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	\$2,000	21-Feb-2008	30-Apr-2009	1.19	\$8	\$159	\$166
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 ,
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	2005	04 5-1- 0000	00.4==0000	0.00	\$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$13
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$225	21-Feb-2008	30-Apr-2009	1.19 0.00	\$13 \$0	n/a n/a	\$13 \$0
		end the	014/00				المراجعة المساورة
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cost					ent (NOI). Date requal date of compliance.	uired is the date
		the violation was f	irst documented.	Final dat	te is the expected	date of compliance.	
Avoided Costs		the violation was f	irst documented.	Final dat	te is the expected		
		the violation was f	irst documented.	Final dat	te is the expected	date of compliance.	osts)
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		the violation was f	irst documented.	Final date	te is the expected item (except for \$0	one-time avoided c	osts)
Avoided Costs		the violation was f	irst documented.	Final date	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	date of compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		the violation was f	irst documented.	Final date of the content of the con	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	date of compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		the violation was f	irst documented.	entering 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	date of compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		the violation was f	irst documented.	ntering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	date of compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		the violation was f	irst documented.	entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	date of compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		the violation was f	irst documented.	entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	date of compliance. one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

	011000001511	DUSTMAN JACON		Classification: AVERAC	CE Poline: 2.00
Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603321514	RUSTMAN, JASON		Classification: AVERAC	-
Regulated Entily:	RN105457766	JASON RUSTMAN		Classification, AVERAG	E Site Nating, 2.0
ID Number(s):					
Location:	3200 WASSON F	RD, BIG SPRING, HOW	ARD CO, TX	Rating Date: 9/1/2008 F	Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 07 - MIE	DLAND			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	September 17, 20	008			
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				
Compliance Period:	September 17, 20	003 to September 17, 20	08		
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Info Name: Mark Oliver		this Compliance History one: 512-239-330	08		
	Sit	e Compliance Histor	v Components		,
Has the site been in existence and/or operation			No No		•
Has there been a (known) change in ownership			No		
				•	
3. If Yes, who is the current owner?			N/A		
4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?			N/A		
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?			N/A		
Components (Multimedia) for the Site :					
A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgemen	ts, and consent ded	crees of the state of Tex	as and the federal g	overnment.	. •
N/A			,		j
B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Tex N/A	kas and the federal	government,			
C. Chronic excessive emissions events.			,		
N/A					
D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCE 8/18/2008	EDS Inv. Track. No.)			·.
E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCED	OS inv. Track. No.)			•	
Date 02/22/2008 (636369)					
	apter 281, SubChap otain a storm water			derate	•
Self Report? NO Citation: 30 TAC Cha	apter 281, SubChapost the NOI.	Cl		derate	•
F. Environmental audits. N/A					
G. Type of environmental management syste	ems (EMSs).		,		
N/A					
H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment N/A	dates.				
Participation in a voluntary pollution reducti	on program.		•	•	
N/A					
Early compliance					

N/A Sites Outside of Texas

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JASON RUSTMAN,	§	
RN105457766	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

DEFAULT ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-1514-WQ-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality,
("Commission" or "TCEQ")	considered the Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition
filed pursuant to TEX. WATE	R CODE chs. 7 and 26, the rules of the TCEQ, and 40 CODE OF FEDERAL
REGULATIONS ("CFR") pt. 1	22, which requests appropriate relief, including the imposition of an
administrative penalty and co	prrective action of the respondent. The respondent made the subject of
this Order is Jason Rustman	("Mr. Rustman").

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Mr. Rustman owns and operates a construction site for a horse arena and parking lot located at 3200 Wasson Road, in Big Spring, Howard County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Site has discharged waste into or adjacent to any water in the state or has committed another act that has caused or will cause pollution of any water in the state under the Texas Water Code.
- 3. During an inspection on August 15, 2008, a TCEQ Midland Regional Office investigator documented that Mr. Rustman failed to obtain authorization to discharge storm water associated with construction activities under a Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Construction General Permit. Specifically, the Site was cleared and graded for construction of a horse arena and parking lot prior to obtaining a permit or developing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.
- 4. Mr. Rustman received notice of the violation on or about October 12, 2008.

- 5. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Jason Rustman" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on February 6, 2009.
- 6. By letter dated February 6, 2009, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Rustman with notice of the EDPRP. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed". The first class mail has not been returned, indicating that Mr. Rustman received notice of the EDPRP.
- 7. More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Rustman received notice of the EDPRP, provided by the Executive Director. Mr. Rustman failed to file an answer, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 1 and 2, Mr. Rustman is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26, the rules of the TCEQ, and 40 Code OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS ("CFR") pt. 122, and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3, Mr. Rustman failed to obtain authorization to discharge storm water associated with construction activities under a Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Construction General Permit, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") § 122.26(c).
- 3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 5 and 6, the Executive Director timely served Mr. Rustman with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(a).
- 4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 7, Mr. Rustman failed to file a timely answer as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.056 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.105. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.057 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Mr. Rustman and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
- 5. An administrative penalty in the amount of two thousand one hundred dollars (\$2,100.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053.

Jason Rustman
Docket No. 2008-1514-WQ-E
Page 3

6. Tex. Water Code §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.

ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Mr. Rustman is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of two thousand one hundred dollars (\$2,100.00) for violations of state statutes and TCEQ rules. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Rustman's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality". The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Jason Rustman; Docket No. 2008-1514-WQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Order, Mr. Rustman shall develop and implement a Storm Water Prevention Plan and submit a Notice of Intent, including associated application fees, to comply with the General Construction Permit requirements, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") pt. 122.26(c) to:

Wastewater Permitting Section
Water Quality Division, MC 148
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Jason Rustman Docket No. 2008-1514-WQ-E Page 4

3. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Order, Mr. Rustman shall submit written certification and detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and other records, to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Mr. Rustman shall submit the written certification and copies of documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2 and 3 to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Michael Edminston, Water Section Manager Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Midland Regional Office 3300 North A Street Midland, Texas 78711-3087

- 4. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 5. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Rustman. Mr. Rustman is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Order.
- 6. If Mr. Rustman fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Rustman's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Mr.

Rustman shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Rustman shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Rustman becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

- 7. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Rustman shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Rustman receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 8. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Mr. Rustman if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Rustman has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 9. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 10. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106(d) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144.

Jason Rustman Docket No. 2008-1514-WQ-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS	COMN	MISSION	ON ENVIR	CONMEN	TAL O	UALITY

For the Commission

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES W. SALLANS

STATE OF TEXAS

8

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

8

"My name is James W. Sallans I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, I filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Jason Rustman (the "EDPRP") was filed with the Office of the Chief Clerk on February 6, 2009.

I sent the EDPRP to Mr. Rustman at its his last known address on February 6, 2009, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. The United States Postal Service returned the wrapper sent by certified mail as "unclaimed". The first class mail has not been returned, indicating the respondent received notice of the EDPRP, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.104(c)(2).

More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Rustman received notice of the EDPRP. Mr. Rustman failed to file an answer, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference".

James W. Sallans

Attornéy

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared James W. Sallans, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this

A.D., 2009.

Notary Stamp

Note

State of Texas Sommission Expires April 25, 2011

Jacqueline Frances Duckworth Notary Public